

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHRISTOPHER BIGGERS,

Defendant.

2:10-CR-00003 JCM (PAL)

ORDER

Presently before the court is the government's motion to reconsider the order denying forfeiture (doc. #70). (Doc. #73). The defendant responded (doc. #75), and the government replied (doc. #77).

"Reconsideration is appropriate if the district court (1) is presented with newly discovered evidence, (2) committed clear error or the initial decision was manifestly unjust, or (3) if there is an intervening change in controlling law." *School Dist. No. 1J v. ACandS, Inc.*, 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993); *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b).

The government fails to present any new law, new facts, or new evidence indicating that any of the circumstances enumerated by the Ninth Circuit are present here warranting reconsideration or any other relief.

Accordingly,

...

...

...

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the government's motion
2 to reconsider the order denying forfeiture (doc. #73) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED.

3 DATED September 15, 2010.

4
5 
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE